## **Introduced by Assembly Member Bass**

February 26, 2009

An act to add Section 3060.95 to the Penal Code, relating to parole.

## LEGISLATIVE COUNSEL'S DIGEST

AB 785, as introduced, Bass. Community sanctions: parole violators. Existing law provides for various sanctions to be imposed on persons who violate parole, including reincarceration.

This bill would state the Legislature's intent that community sanctions be instituted for parole violators who present a lower risk to public safety, as specified. The bill would require the Department of Corrections and Rehabilitation to implement a "parole violation decisionmaking instrument" to provide guidelines for use by parole agents and the Board of Parole Hearings to determine the most appropriate sanctions for parole violators, as specified. The bill would require the department to adopt regulations to implement these provisions. The bill would provide that its provisions do not limit the authority of counties to prosecute parolees who commit new crimes.

Vote: majority. Appropriation: no. Fiscal committee: yes. State-mandated local program: no.

The people of the State of California do enact as follows:

- 1 SECTION 1. The Legislature finds and declares as follows:
- 2 (a) That the state's 33 prisons are operating at approximately
- 3 200 percent of capacity. Several thousand California inmates have
- 4 been transferred out of state to help relieve overcrowding.

 $AB 785 \qquad \qquad -2 -$ 

(b) That approximately 70,000 parole violators are sent back to these overcrowded prisons each year. Approximately 20 percent of these violators are returned to custody for a technical violation of parole, not for the commission of a new crime, resulting in a stay of usually four months or less, and these violators do not receive reentry services to stop the cycle of reoffending.

- (c) Many reports and commissions have recommended the adoption of an evidence-based risk assessment. These include, but are not limited to, the following: the Rehabilitation Strike Team Report to the Governor (12-07), which included a specific recommendation for using a parole violation decisionmaking matrix; the California Expert Panel Report (6-07) that recommended development of a parole sanctions matrix including sanction guidelines for parole agents; and the Little Hoover Commission Report (11-03) entitled "Back to the Community: Safe and Sound Parole Policies" which recommended that the Department of Corrections and Rehabilitation use structured decisionmaking and alternative sanctions in responding to parole violations.
- (d) That community sanctions should be instituted for parole violators who represent a low risk to public safety based on the nature of the parole violation, their criminal history, and the outcome of risk assessments as authorized in Section 33 of Chapter 175 of the Statutes of 2007. Community sanctions include, but are not limited to, community work crews, increased supervision, increased drug testing, home detention, day reporting centers, and short-term incarceration.
- SEC. 2. Section 3060.95 is added to the Penal Code, to read: 3060.95. (a) No later than January 1, 2010, the Department of Corrections and Rehabilitation shall begin statewide implementation of a parole violation decisionmaking instrument designed to provide guidelines for use by parole agents and the Board of Parole Hearings to determine the most appropriate sanctions for parolees who violate their conditions of parole.
- (b) For purposes of this section, a "parole violation decisionmaking instrument" provides ranges of appropriate sanctions for parole violators given relevant case factors, such as offense history, risk of reoffending, risk of violence based on a validated risk assessment tool, need for treatment services, the

-3- AB 785

number and type of current and prior parole violations, and other relevant statutory requirements.

- (c) Parole agents and, when a violation of parole has been referred to the board, the board, shall impose sanctions on parole violators in accordance with the parole decisionmaking instrument.
- (d) The department shall adopt emergency regulations to implement this section initially, and shall subsequently adopt permanent regulations that make appropriate changes in policies and procedures to reflect the intent of this section.
- (e) Subject to legislative appropriation, the department shall ensure that sufficient bed or program capacity is available in the community to assign parole violators to those sanctions designated in the parole violation decisionmaking instrument.
- (f) Nothing in this section shall limit the authority of counties to prosecute parolees who commit new crimes.